



Minutes of the Planning Subcommittee meeting held on Tuesday 1st June 2021 at 19:00

Present: Councillors: Whelan (Chair), J Whelan, Burgess who were present in the office

Present online and unable to vote: Cllr Dyer

Also, present: Parish Manager (in person).

1. Welcome and register of those in attendance.

2. **Apologies** – no apologies required. ●

3. **Declaration of Members' Interests** – none raised.

4. **Public Question Time** – no public present.

5. Minutes of the previous meeting

5.1. Cllr Burgess proposed that the minutes of the meeting held on Tuesday 18th May 2021 were a true record and this was seconded by Cllr J Whelan. These were accepted by all **RESOLVED**

6. Planning

6.1. Update on planning applications. Chair reviewed the update information that was circulated to the members prior to the meeting. There were no questions.

6.2. Proposals on current Planning Applications Members were asked to consider the planning memo circulated with additional information. Cllr J Whelan proposed that these recommendations are accepted by the subcommittee.

6.2.1. 21/01296/FUL 42 Colesbourne Drive Downhead Park **No objection** was proposed by Cllr J Whelan and seconded by Cllr Burgess and voted as all in favour.

6.2.2. 21/01299/PANOTH telecommunications box Wedgwood Avenue **Comments** were proposed regarding this application.

6.2.2.1. It is the subcommittee's understanding that a Local Authority can, under article 4 ban this type of application in an area.

6.2.2.2. It is understood that a consultee cannot object to these masts but there are certain points that MKC should consider if they do not take the option to enact article 4.

- Masts should not be located close to houses,
- Masts should not be above or in the tree line,
- Masts should blend into the environment.

6.2.2.3. The subcommittee would make the following observations of this pre-application against the NPPF as it appears to contravene.

- Section 113 1a – there are many other masts and applications in this area, can the applicant provide proof that there is a need or demand.
- Section 113 1b 0 can the applicant provide proof that they have surveyed the area for the use of existing mast buildings and other structures for new electronic communication capability.
- Section 113 1c 0 there is no attempt to disguise the tower, and it is above tree line and opposite houses.
- Section 114 2b – can the applicant provide evidence that the infrastructure will not cause interference.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'MJ'.



- Section 115 3b – evidence there is no cumulative exposure.

6.2.2.4. Subcommittee asks that there is clear evidence for the need for these Masts and an understanding of the accumulation of radiation in all the masts in the parish community.

6.2.3. Cllr J Whelan asked the subcommittee to note that the CLUP for 17 Tanners Lane had been reviewed and comments passed to the Case Officer. The changes to be made appear to be all connected to material considerations and therefore should be a FUL planning permission request.

The meeting closed at 19:25

7. The next meeting of the planning subcommittee was confirmed to be on Tuesday 15th June 2021 at 19:00

Chair  _____

Date 21/6/21 _____